



**RESPONSE TO APPELLANT COSTS
REBUTTAL
OF
SOUTH STAFFORDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

INSPECTORATE REFERENCE:

APP/C3430/C/24/3350953

SECTION 174 TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

APPEAL BY:

Mr. Mark Gripton

SITE AT:

Land at Levedale Road

Levedale

Staffordshire

ST18 9AJ

Local Authority Reference 21/00125/UNCOU

APPENDICES

SECTIONS

1. Emails Between Appellant and Council – 15 March through 23 April 2024

1. INTRODUCTION

These below comments are prepared in response to the appellant's rebuttal to the District Council's costs claim, in respect of an appeal brought against the decision by South Staffordshire District Council in the following matters:

Section 174 Town and Country Planning Act 1990 in the service an Enforcement Notice in respect of Land at Levedale Road, Levedale, Staffordshire, ST8 9AJ.

Appeal Reference: C3430/C/24/3350953

2. COMMENTS

- 2.1 The Council's comments are issued in response to the appellant's rebuttal to the District Council's costs claim dated 25th February 2025. It is not the Council's intention to raise new issues or repeat representations previously made; however, clarity should be provided on several of the appellant's statements.
- 2.2 The appellant notes within the first sentence of their email that they are *"trying to defend a case raised by the Council knowing I am in Tenerife and only have my phone."* The Council is aware that the appellant spends time in Tenerife, but also that their primary residence is in England. The Council has no indication of the dates that the appellant is in Tenerife and has had no direct contact with them since August 2024. Whilst it is unfortunate that the appellant has lodged the appeal and responded to the Council's costs claim on their phone, the Council has previously provided the appellant with links to public computers and/or libraries in Tenerife (please reference email dated 5th August 2024, provided on page 21, Appendix 2 of the Final Comments of the District Council.)
- 2.3 The appellant notes on page 2 of the rebuttal document that *"All of this enforcement and appeal could have been avoided if the Council had sat down and talked this through, but they refused..."*

The Council finds this statement factually inaccurate. The Senior Planning Enforcement Officer met the appellant at the Land on 10th April 2024 for approximately one hour. After the site visit on 10th April 2024, the appellant did not request a follow-up meeting with the Council. The Council has previously provided copies of emails between the appellant and the Council, within the Statement of Case and Final Comments. Included within the following Appendix 1 are emails between the appellant and the District Council, which have not previously been provided to the Inspectorate as they did not seem relevant. However, the attached emails document that the appellant never requested another meeting with the District Council. The appellant communicated via email, with the Council having record of 30+ emails with the appellant.

- 2.4 The appellant continues to note on page 2 of their rebuttal document that the Council has been unreasonable in issuing an Enforcement Notice and that the appellant was clear in their intention to remove the caravan from the Land. The appellant notes that *“I told Emma [Senior Enforcement Officer] that I was away until mid-September so unable to sell it [the caravan] or move it before then. I asked if the Council issue an instruction that the caravan needs to be moved by the end of October as it was only a few weeks. The Council refused.”*

The appellant notes on page 3 of their rebuttal document that *“said I would do everything I could to resolve this quickly and affordable for the public by adopting a proportionate approach. It is the Council that has created this situation and raised an enforcement notice when there was no requirement or public interest to do so as we were dealing with the Council to resolve the issue and told the Council the caravan would be gone by mid October.”*

The appellant further notes at the bottom of page 3 of their rebuttal document that *“A few days after the site visit Emma said that the Council needed the caravan gone before October, I suggested that this action was unreasonable given the Council have had the complaint for over 2 years. Emma said that if it was not removed immediately then enforcement again would go ahead. I tried to get the Council to be reasonable to no avail, I said I was away in Tenerife in May and again in June to September. Emma said move it now or enforcement action. I said that I was unable to move it now and if they could simply wait till October it would be gone and I would agree to comply to that.”*

The Council respectfully draws the Inspector’s attention to the attached email dated 12th April 2024. As noted within said email, the Council provided the appellant with 28 days to remove the caravan from the Land, through 10th May 2024 or an Enforcement Notice would be served. Requesting that the caravan be removed within 28 days (a standard requested timescale within enforcement) is not requiring that the caravan be removed immediately, as the appellant notes.

The Council would also respectfully draw the Inspector’s attention to the attached email dated 22nd April 2024. The appellant states that *“I am on leave from 6th May to 17th May in Tenerife...”* The appellant did not mention or indicate their four-month international absence in that email. The Council’s email response on 23rd April 2024 did note that *“I would not anticipate it [the Enforcement Notice] would be issued until after you return from Tenerife.”* That statement is clearly a response to the appellant’s previous email where it is noted returning from Tenerife on 17th May.

Appendix 1 of the Council’s Final Comments provides email correspondence that follows the Council’s aforementioned email dated 23rd April 2024. The appellant states on 23rd April that *“we might be selling the caravan this summer as I mentioned so it might be gone by July/August anyway? But I suppose we will have to go through the motions anyway just in case we don’t sell it/remove it.”*

The next correspondence was 30th July 2024, where the Council notified the appellant that the Enforcement Notice was prepared and ready to be served. The appellant did note within their email response, the same day, that they were in Tenerife until 11th September; however, this was the first communication to that effect. Should the Inspector wish to review the remaining emails between the appellant and the Council (provided within Appendices 2 and 3 of the Council's Final Comments), the appellant made no mention of removing the caravan from the Land. As noted within the Council's Final Comments, that first came to our attention when the appellant included it within their own Final Comments.

Again, the Council finds the appellant's statements alleging that they ensured the Council the caravan would be moved from the Land, factually inaccurate. Emails have been provided both prior to and after the service of the Enforcement Notice. The appellant does note that they "may" move the caravan off the Land, but the communication from the Council is clear – if the appellant intended to appeal a Notice that included the permanent storage of the caravan on the Land, it was essential that the caravan not be moved off prior to the determination of the appeal.

- 2.5 The appellant notes on page 3 of their rebuttal document that *"they [the Council] are aware that due to them delaying the enforcement notice until August that I was in Tenerife, so how did they think I was going to be able to get legal advice or help in Tenerife and without phone access..."*

The Council noted to the appellant within the attached email dated 18th April 2024 that "If you are looking for confirmation on the above, you can certainly contact an outside planning agent." As noted within sections 2.2 and 2.4, the appellant only informed the Council that they were in Tenerife in May 2024 for a limited time; there was no reference to being in Tenerife again until correspondence in late July. Respectfully, the Council contends that the time between 18th April 2024 (the appellant having knowledge that an Enforcement Notice was forthcoming) and 30th July 2024 (when the Notice was prepared for service) gave ample time for the appellant to seek professional assistance.

- 2.6 The appellant notes on page 4 of their rebuttal document that *"the Council have wasted money trying to change the decision made by the Planning Inspectorate in 2014 and I believe this is what this is about, overturning your PINS approval."*

The Council have a full understanding that a District Council cannot "change the decision" made by PINS. The intention of the District Council is to consider if the current use of the Land is materially different than what was authorised and previously implemented under permission 14/00709/FUL for "Retention of allotment development including timber sheds, timber walkways and extension to existing hard standing." It remains the Council's position that it is expedient and within the public interest to proceed with formal enforcement action to remedy the harm caused by the unauthorised development on the Land.

- 2.7 It is the Council's belief that responding to the costs application rebuttal is an example of how the appellant has consistently behaved unreasonably and the Council has been consistently put to unnecessary or wasted expense. It has taken the Council several hours to respond to the appellant's rebuttal. Of course, the appellant has a right to rebut the costs application; however, many of the appellant's points are refuted by clear evidence provided by the Council. We respectfully maintain the Council's request for costs be awarded.

APPENDIX 1

Emma Posillico

From: Emma Posillico
Sent: 23 April 2024 12:34
To: GRIPTON MARK
Subject: RE: 21/00125/UNCOU - Alleged unauthorised change of use of land from allotment to residential use - Levedale Road, Levedale.

Mr Gripton,

Thank you for the response. Yes, the Council would issue an enforcement notice, then you would have 28 days from the effective date on the notice to appeal with the Planning Inspectorate. Here is a link from the Inspectorate which you can explore for further information: [Appeal an enforcement notice: When you can appeal - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk/guidance/appeal-an-enforcement-notice-when-you-can-appeal)

There will also be information on the appeal process that is attached to the notice.

We will have to send the notice through several departments at the Council before it can be formally issued, so I would not anticipate it would be issued until after you return from Tenerife. As an example of the timeframe for submitting an appeal, if we served the Enforcement Notice on 20 May 2024, you would then have until 17 June 2024 to file an appeal with the Planning Inspectorate.

One item that I did want to note, you said that you will not be able to move the caravan off the land whilst you are in Tenerife. If you move the caravan off the land, there is no cause for us to issue an Enforcement Notice. Further, there would be nothing for the Planning Inspectorate to actually see when they do a site visit as a part of the appeal process. So whilst this may seem counterintuitive to our discussions, if we are issuing an enforcement notice regarding the permanent storage of the caravan on the property and you are appealing that notice, you will want to keep the caravan stored there for the duration of the appeal.

Kind regards,

Emma Posillico

Senior Planning Enforcement Officer
Planning Enforcement
South Staffordshire Council

Tel: (01902) 696000
www.sstaffs.gov.uk



Stay Connected - sign up to receive [free alerts and updates](#) containing news and information.

Follow the Council on [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), [LinkedIn](#) and [YouTube](#).

We process your personal data in accordance with our [Privacy Notice](#). If you have any queries or would like to exercise any of your rights in relation to your personal data, please contact dpo@sstaffs.gov.uk.

This message is intended solely for the addressee and may contain confidential information. If you have received this message in error, please send it back to us, and immediately and permanently delete it. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this message or in any attachment.

From: GRIPTON MARK [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, April 22, 2024 5:24 PM

To: Emma Posillico <E.Posillico@sstaffs.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Subject: RE: 21/00125/UNCOU - Alleged unauthorised change of use of land from allotment to residential use - Levedale Road, Levedale.

CAUTION-THIS EMAIL WAS SENT FROM OUTSIDE THE COUNCIL. DONT OPEN LINKS OR ATTACHMENTS UNLESS YOU'RE SURE YOU CAN TRUST THIS SENDER!

Hi Emma,

Hope you are well, we have decided to ask the planning inspectorate for their interpretation of the decision notice as we discussed so could you let me know the process for doing this. I assume it will be an enforcement notice from SSC and then I am unsure of the mechanism for approaching the planning inspectorate following the enforcement notice?

Please note that I am on leave from 6th May to the 17th May in Tenerife so would not be able to answer any queries during that period, it would also not be possible for me to move the caravan during this period.

Please let me know how we proceed.

Kind Regards

Mark Gripton

On 18/04/2024 16:41 BST Emma Posillico <e.posillico@sstaffs.gov.uk> wrote:

Mr Gripton,

Thank you for your response. Whilst I can empathise with your thought process, unfortunately sending queries through is simply not how the Planning Inspectorate works. They operate on the basis of an appeal being made and an inspector determining that appeal. The questions you are raising are the basis for an appeal itself, not a simple query that the Inspectorate will respond via email or letter to.

So truly, the fastest way forward would be to have the Council serve an Enforcement Notice to remove the caravan from the property, and you appeal that notice.

Alternatively, if you do not wish to have an Enforcement Notice served, remove the caravan and subsequently submit a planning application for the storage of a caravan on the property. If the Council refuses it, you could then appeal that decision with the Planning Inspectorate. This will also likely be a lengthier process given the 8-week determination time for a planning application.

If you are looking for confirmation on the above, you can certainly contact an outside planning agent. They will confirm that the Planning Inspectorate do not issue interpretations or advice outside of a current appeal.

If you could kindly let me know how you will be proceeding by 5PM on Monday, 22 April 2024, that would be most appreciated.

Kind regards,

Emma Posillico

Senior Planning Enforcement Officer
Planning Enforcement
South Staffordshire Council

Tel: (01902) 696000
www.sstaffs.gov.uk



Stay Connected - sign up to receive [free alerts and updates](#) containing news and information.

Follow the Council on [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), [LinkedIn](#) and [YouTube](#).

We process your personal data in accordance with our [Privacy Notice](#). If you have any queries or would like to exercise any of your rights in relation to your personal data, please contact dpo@sstaffs.gov.uk.

This message is intended solely for the addressee and may contain confidential information. If you have received this message in error, please send it back to us, and immediately and permanently delete it. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this message or in any attachment.

From: GRIPTON MARK <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2024 10:15 AM
To: Emma Posillico <E.Posillico@sstaffs.gov.uk> <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: 21/00125/UNCOU - Alleged unauthorised change of use of land from allotment to residential use - Levedale Road, Levedale.

CAUTION-THIS EMAIL WAS SENT FROM OUTSIDE THE COUNCIL. DONT OPEN LINKS OR ATTACHMENTS UNLESS YOU'RE SURE YOU CAN TRUST THIS SENDER!

Hi Emma,

Hope you are well.

I have been pondering your email and having re read it a few times would like to make a suggestion based upon the comment you have made within the email. However I do accept that from the council position I will have to remove the caravan and I agree to abide by the decision post my suggestion. Although I still believe that I have the required permission, but request we wait until a response to my suggestion below?

I do like your idea of understanding the planning inspectorates view of the permission granted, might I ask that we or you be given the time to write to the planning inspectorate and ask for their view on the issue we have today. The different view of SCC and Myself on the hardstanding permission granted by the inspectorate? This would elevate the need for the council to use heavy handed processes of enforcement notices against an innocent member of the public, simply on the basis of a different view of the decision notice.

It would give us both breathing space to wait for a view of the decision notice issued by the planning inspectorate, by the planning inspectorate, this issue has been ongoing for over 2 years so am sure a few more weeks is not be an unreasonable request?

Whatever the view from the inspectorate we agree to be bound by it, and would like the council to agree the same, so I am proposing asking the planning inspectorate for a view on two statements as below. Appeal Ref: APP/C3430/W/15/3006045

a) The parking of a caravan (or any vehicle) for an extended period of time (greater than 28 days in any 12 month period) **IS** excluded from the permission granted in 2015 by the planning inspectorate for the hardstanding, based upon the information provided on the appeal decision and inspectors records on Appeal Ref: APP/C3430/W/15/3006045.

1. I will accept the inspectorates view that I cannot park a caravan (or any vehicle) on the hardstanding for greater than 28 days in any 12 month period and will remove the caravan within 4 weeks of this decision.

b) The parking of a caravan (or any vehicle) for an extended period of time (greater than 28 days in any 12 month period) **IS NOT** excluded from the permission granted in 2015 by the planning inspectorate for the hardstanding, based upon the information provided on the appeal decision and inspectors records on Appeal Ref: APP/C3430/W/15/3006045.

2. The council will accept the inspectorates view that I can park a caravan (or any vehicle) on the hardstanding and will confirm in writing that I am able to park the caravan or any vehicle on the hardstanding for greater than 28 days in any 12 month period .

Please let me know if this is a sensible way forward and we can decide if the council email the planning inspectorate or I should? I am happy either way so long as we have an agreed and pragmatic way forward that does not cause myself or my wife undue stress. The idea of having another enforcement notice issued is extremely upsetting for both myself and my wife and if we could find a way to resolve this without the need for heavy handed procedures, we would both very much appreciate your sympathetic approach.

Rest assured we agree to be bound by the advise and response given by the inspectorate.

Kind regards

Mark Gripton

On 12/04/2024 16:33 BST Emma Posillico <e.posillico@sstaffs.gov.uk> wrote:

Mr Gripton,

Hopefully you are well. After reviewing your emails I had a lengthy discussion with my manager this afternoon regarding the Paddock property. Within any planning application there is a description of the proposed development – this is legally binding, and in the case of permission 14/00709/FUL, it was defined as “Allotment development including timber sheds, timber walkways and extension to existing hard standing.” Anything written in the appeal decision under “Decision” (so essentially items 1-13) are the Inspector’s reasoning, used to support the permission itself. The permission itself is “Allotment development including timber sheds, timber walkways and extension to existing hard standing.” This is how the Local Planning Authority considers all planning permissions and appeal decisions; if you would like to dispute this further, you will need to do so within an appeal to the Inspectorate.

Whilst you did show a vehicle on the site plan approved within the appeal documents, this does not imply or grant permission for the permanent storage of a caravan on the property. Permanently storing a caravan on the property is a material change from the permission granted under the 2014 appeal decision and does require planning permission. So here are the options for moving forward:

-Remove the caravan from the property within 28 days, so by 10 May 2024. Once removed, we will close the enforcement case.

-Alternatively, the Council will issue an Enforcement Notice to have the caravan removed from the property, and you can appeal the Notice with the Planning Inspectorate. This would be the opportunity for you to present the Inspectorate with how you interpret the 2014 appeal decision.

If you could kindly review the above and let me know how you will be proceeding by Friday, 19 April 2024, it would be much appreciated.

*Please do note – as discussed, you have the right to stay overnight on the property up to 28 days per year. So you could remove the caravan from its permanent storage position on the property, then utilise the caravan to visit the property up to 28 days per year. However, the caravan could not be overnight on the property more than 28 days per year.

Kind regards,

Emma Posillico

Senior Planning Enforcement Officer
Planning Enforcement
South Staffordshire Council

Tel: (01902) 696000
www.sstaffs.gov.uk



Stay Connected - sign up to receive [free alerts and updates](#) containing news and information.

Follow the Council on [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), [LinkedIn](#) and [YouTube](#).

We process your personal data in accordance with our [Privacy Notice](#). If you have any queries or would like to exercise any of your rights in relation to your personal data, please contact dpo@sstaffs.gov.uk.

This message is intended solely for the addressee and may contain confidential information. If you have received this message in error, please send it back to us, and immediately and permanently delete it. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this message or in any attachment.

From: GRIPTON MARK <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2024 5:08 PM
To: Emma Posillico <E.Posillico@sstaffs.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: 21/00125/UNCOU - Alleged unauthorised change of use of land from allotment to residential use - Levedale Road, Levedale.

Hi Emma,

I wanted to confirm that the inspector defined the site as **Other use of land** as this seems to be a point of confusion.

I have attached the relevant extract from the SSC adopted core strategy page 61 sub para b. link attached

<https://www.sstaffs.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2023-02/Core%20Strategy%20Dev%20Plan%20Doc.pdf>

Policy OC1: Development in the Open Countryside Beyond the West Midlands Green Belt

Development within the Open Countryside will normally be permitted where the proposed development is for either:

A. A new or extended building, provided it is for:

- b) appropriate small-scale facilities for outdoor sport or recreation, nature conservation, cemeteries and for **other uses of land** which preserve the appearance or character of the Open Countryside beyond the Green Belt; or

The Paddock was defined by the inspector as **Other uses of land**, he even goes on to say that allotments are not excluded from **other uses of land**, however he also refused to allow SSC to tie the development to agriculture, again confirming he did not define the site as Allotments. So by his own decision the development permission is for **Other use of land** and not an allotment or recreational facility, it is undefined, this other use of land could be anything and does not specifically exclude the parking of a caravan on the site on the approved hardstanding.

Thought this might be helpful for your discussions.

Happy to discuss further.

Kind regards

Mark Gripton

On 10/04/2024 15:43 BST Emma Posillico
<e.posillico@sstaffs.gov.uk> wrote:

Mr Gripton,

Yes, thank you for meeting on the property this morning. My manager is a bit busy this week but I will speak to her about your query if showing a vehicle on an approved plan implies consent for the permanent storage of a tow-behind caravan. I would anticipate that it does not; the Inspector's appeal decision was based upon the intended use of the property as an allotment and for outdoor recreation with sheds, walkways and hardstanding. Given that the property is not resided on, there really would be no reason for a vehicle (specifically a car as shown on the approved plan) to be stored at the property at all times. Typically, when someone utilises an allotment or outdoor recreation area, they use a vehicle to reach the area and then to leave the area at the end of the day when they are finished. If they camp at the property, it would be subject to the 28-night rule we discussed. However, I am happy to discuss things further with my manager and our legal team and get back to you next week. We are in a funny space of trying to interpret the Inspector's decision from 2015 with the current arrangement on site.

In the event that you do need to seek planning permission to permanently store the caravan on the property, you would submit a full application for a change of use to include outdoor storage. This would be similar to the application you submitted in 2014 with a location plan and a site plan showing all of the structures (including the caravan) on the property.

Kind regards,

Emma Posillico

Senior Planning Enforcement Officer
Planning Enforcement
South Staffordshire Council

Tel: (01902) 696000
www.sstaffs.gov.uk



Stay Connected - sign up to receive [free alerts and updates](#) containing news and information.

Follow the Council on [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), [LinkedIn](#) and [YouTube](#).

We process your personal data in accordance with our [Privacy Notice](#). If you have any queries or would like to exercise any of your rights in relation to your personal data, please contact dpo@sstaffs.gov.uk.

This message is intended solely for the addressee and may contain confidential information. If you have received this message in error, please send it back to us, and immediately and permanently delete it. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this message or in any attachment.

From: GRIPTON MARK <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, April 10, 2024 1:01 PM
To: Emma Posillico <E.Posillico@sstaffs.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: 21/00125/UNCOU - Alleged unauthorised change of use of land from allotment to residential use - Levedale Road, Levedale.

Hi Emma,

Lovely to meet you this morning and I was glad to see that you are able to dismiss the change of use and change from the plans. So the only issue is the parking of the caravan on the hardstanding.

I am happy for you to seek legal advice on this issue please. In the meantime I will be searching for the legal definition and use of hardstanding with the Civil Engineering Institution, Royal Society for Architects and BSI standards for Hardstand use. Just in case we end up in an enforcement situation and I have to fight the council again.

Maybe you could help me to understand what Planning permission would be required to park the Caravan on the hardstanding over and above that I have? What would the application request?

I have attached the paper I put together to try and answer the complaint.

Sorry if I moaned a lot about Sutton, not very professional I know, but after 10 years of aggravation he just makes me so angry, we wish he had never moved there.

Kind Regards

Mark

On 08/04/2024 13:47 BST Emma Posillico
<e.posillico@sstaffs.gov.uk> wrote:

Mr Gripton,

Yes, I have managed to print the approved plan on A3. I will see you Wednesday.

Kind regards,

Emma Posillico

Senior Planning Enforcement Officer
Planning Enforcement
South Staffordshire Council

Tel: (01902) 696000

www.sstaffs.gov.uk



Stay Connected - sign up to receive [free alerts and updates](#) containing news and information.

Follow the Council on [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), [LinkedIn](#) and [YouTube](#).

We process your personal data in accordance with our [Privacy Notice](#). If you have any queries or would like to exercise any of your rights in relation to your personal data, please contact dpo@sstaffs.gov.uk.

This message is intended solely for the addressee and may contain confidential information. If you have received this message in error, please send it back to us, and immediately and permanently delete it. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this message or in any attachment.

From: GRIPTON MARK
[REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, April 8, 2024 11:09 AM

To: Emma Posillico <E.Posillico@sstaffs.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: 21/00125/UNCOU - Alleged unauthorised change of use of land from allotment to residential use - Levedale Road, Levedale.

CAUTION-THIS EMAIL WAS SENT FROM OUTSIDE THE COUNCIL. DONT OPEN LINKS OR ATTACHMENTS IF YOU'RE NOT SURE YOU CAN TRUST THIS SENDER!

Hi Emma,

I hope you are well and had a good Easter break?

I am trying to print out the submitted plan from the appeal notice, but I only have an A4 Printer and it is very difficult to read, are you able to print out the plan on A3 so we can at least read it on Wednesday please.

I will try to do half and half on A4 to make an A3 plan. Looking forward to seeing you on Wednesday.

Regards

Mark Gripton
[REDACTED]

On 15/03/2024 16:05 GMT Emma
Posillico
<e.posillico@sstaffs.gov.uk> wrote:

Mr Gripton,

I appreciate the explanation but please do not worry about missing the email in December; you have responded now and we are set for a site visit, so no worries about what happened previously. Have a lovely weekend.

Kind regards,

Emma Posillico

**Senior Planning Enforcement
Officer
Planning Enforcement
South Staffordshire Council**

Tel: (01902) 696000
www.sstaffs.gov.uk





Stay Connected - sign up to receive [free alerts and updates](#) containing news and information.

Follow the Council on [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), [LinkedIn](#) and [YouTube](#).

We process your personal data in accordance with our [Privacy Notice](#). If you have any queries or would like to exercise any of your rights in relation to your personal data, please contact dpo@sstaffs.gov.uk.

This message is intended solely for the addressee and may contain confidential information. If you have received this message in error, please send it back to us, and immediately and permanently delete it. Do not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this message or in any attachment.

From: GRIPTON MARK

Sent: Friday, March 15, 2024 2:42 PM

To: Emma Posillico
<E.Posillico@sstaffs.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: 21/00125/UNCOU - Alleged unauthorised change of use of land from allotment to residential use - Levedale Road, Levedale.

CAUTION-THESE EMAILS WERE SENT FROM OUTSIDE THE COUNCIL. DONT OPEN LINKS OR FILES UNLESS YOU'RE SURE YOU CAN TRUST THIS SENDER!

Hi Emma,

I thought I would look for the email from SCC sent on the 4th December. When I looked I remembered that Virgin Media have lost all of my emails from Jan 2023 to Mid December 2023. I did contact Virgin in December who told me that I must have deleted them by mistake? however having looked on google today it appears lots of people have apparently deleted them by mistake and Virgin are saying it was nothing to do with them but we all lost our emails for 2023.

Hence the reason I didn't see the email on the 4th December, I was away in Tenerife from 16th Nov to 15th December so was working of my phone email and forgot about this issue until I looked at my emails on my laptop today.

Regards

Mark Gripton

On 15/03/2024
11:21 GMT Emma
Posillico
<e.posillico@sstaffs.gov.uk> wrote:

Mr Gripton,

Yes, 11AM on 10
April works for me.
I will add it to my
diary as well. If
anything changes

with your schedule
in the interim
please just let me
know and we'll
reschedule.

Kind regards,

Emma Posillico

**Senior Planning
Enforcement
Officer
Planning
Enforcement
South Staffordshire
Council**

**Tel: (01902)
696000**

www.sstaffs.gov.uk



Stay Connected -
sign up to receive
[free alerts and
updates](#) containing
news and
information.

Follow the Council
on [Twitter](#),
[Facebook](#),
[LinkedIn](#) and
[YouTube](#).

We process your
personal data in
accordance with
our [Privacy
Notice](#). If you have
any queries or
would like to
exercise any of your
rights in relation to
your personal data,
please contact
[dpo@sstaffs.gov.
uk](mailto:dpo@sstaffs.gov.uk).

This message is
intended solely for
the addressee and
may contain
confidential
information. If you
have received this
message in error,
please send it back
to us, and
immediately and
permanently delete
it. Do not use, copy
or disclose the
information
contained in this
message or in any
attachment.

From: marks email



Sent: Friday, March
15, 2024 11:14 AM

To: Emma Posillico
<[E.Posillico@sstaffs
.gov.uk](mailto:E.Posillico@sstaffs.gov.uk)>

Subject: Re:
21/00125/UNCOU -
Alleged
unauthorised
change of use of

land from allotment
to residential use -
Levedale Road,
Levedale.

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION-THIS EMAIL WAS SENT FROM OUTSIDE THE COUNCIL. DONT OPEN
YOU'RE SURE YOU CAN TRUST THIS SENDER!

Hi Emma,

Wednesday the
10th is good for
me, is 11.00am OK
for you? I have put
this in my calendar
for 11 on the
assumption that it's
OK with you?

Regards

Mark Gripton

Enviado desde mi
Samsung Mobile de
Telcel
Sent from [Outlook
for Android](#)