



29 MAY 2024

RECEIVED



**Local Plan**  
Publication Stage  
Representation Form

Ref:

(For  
official  
use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this  
representation relates:

South Staffordshire Council  
Local Plan 2023 - 2041

Please return to South Staffordshire Council by 12 noon Friday 31 May 2024

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details: need only be completed once.

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each  
representation you wish to make.

## Part A

### 1. Personal Details\*

*\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation (if applicable)  
boxes below but complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.*

### 2. Agent's Details (if applicable)

Title	<input type="text" value="N/R."/>	<input type="text"/>
First Name	<input type="text" value="GRAHAM"/>	<input type="text"/>
Last Name	<input type="text" value="HUGHES"/>	<input type="text"/>
Job Title (where relevant)	<input type="text" value=""/>	<input type="text"/>
Organisation (where relevant)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 1	<input type="text" value=""/>	<input type="text"/>
Line 2	<input type="text" value=""/>	<input type="text"/>
Line 3	<input type="text" value=""/>	<input type="text"/>
Line 4	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Post Code	<input type="text" value=""/>	<input type="text"/>
Telephone Number	<input type="text" value=""/>	<input type="text"/>
E-mail Address (where relevant)	<input type="text" value=""/>	<input type="text"/>



### Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation:

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph  Policy  Policies Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please tick as appropriate

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

THE COUNCIL HAVE A DUTY OF CARE TO THE RESIDENTS OF CHERLYBLOK. THE INCREASE IN TRAFFIC APPROX 40% IN WHAT IS A ONE ROAD IN AND OUT ESTATE ONTO AN ALREADY BUSY MAIN ROAD. THE M6 IS CARRYING A GREATER VOLUME OF TRAFFIC THAN WHEN PREVIOUS LANS WERE RESPECTED AND ALSO IT HAS BEEN WIDENED WHICH IMPACTS ON THE LANDS WITHIN THE PLAN. THIS HAS ALREADY INCREASED THE LEVEL OF POLLUTION / AIR P NOISE. TO INCREASE VEHICLE NUMBERS FURTHER IS UNSAFE ON MANY COUNTS

(Continue on a separate sheet / expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.





THERE IS NO ALTERATION TO THE PLAN THAT MAKES IT SOUND DUE TO THE ONLY ACCESS AND EGRESS FROM THE PLAN. SITE IS THROUGH THE CREEPSBLOK ESTATE AS THE M6 IS ON ONE SIDE AND THE CANAL THE OTHER. INCREASING THROUGH TRAFFIC WOULD BE ALLOWING MANY HEALTH & SAFETY ISSUES TO ALL CURRENT RESIDENTS INCLUDING YOUNG CHILDREN.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

**Please note:** In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

**After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.**

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

**No**, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

**Yes**, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Question 5 (contd).

The planned site has appeared for planning many times in the past and rejected yet the council continue to spend public money on raising every so often albeit they are aware that all the changes that have been made such as widening the M6, increased traffic flows and the proximity of the M6 to the plan. In addition, no changes have been made to the roads within Cherrybrook, on the Cannock road outside Cherrybrook and the nearby roundabout.

At present trying to exit Cherrybrook in the morning onto the Cannock Road is a hazardous and time-consuming operation, due to the school traffic blocking turning right and the congestion at the roundabout blocking the left turn. Adding a further 40%, 200 vehicles to that congestion would beggar belief.

I was also under the impression that a past issue with the site was that there were bats resident, if that is the case I assume that has not changed.

Equally I was under the impression that the Planning Officer in the past was concerned about the distance barrier between the M6 and the planned site, which given the M6 having been widened can only have made that situation worse.

Given the past rejections of planning for this site, and the changes since no further public money should be wasted on further planning requests the site should be removed once and for all as a suitable site for development.