



## **Local Plan**

Publication Stage Representation Form Ref:

(For official use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

South Staffordshire Council Local Plan 2023 - 2041

Please return to South Staffordshire Council by 12 noon Friday 31 May 2024

This form has two parts -

Part A – Personal Details: need only be completed once.

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each

representation you wish to make.

## Part A

	nted, please complete only the Title, N	
boxes below but com	plete the full contact details of the age	ent in 2.
Title	Dr	
First Name	Michael	
Last Name	Fisher	
Job Title (where relevant) Organisation (where relevant) Address Line 1		
Line 2		
Line 3		
Line 4		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		



## Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation:						
Name of Organisation.						
3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?						
Paragraph	Policy 005	Policies Map				
4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :						
(1) Legally compliant	Yes		No	Х		
(2) Sound	Yes		No	Х		
(3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	L	No	x		
Please tick as appropriate						
5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.						
This site will not offer the duty of care due to the proximity of the M6 The noise and air pollution will be excessive.						
When the land was moved to "safeguarding" the motorway was nowhere as busy as it is today. It is estimated that 117000 vehicles travel this road on a daily basis, which equates to approximately 40.9 million vehicles a year. The District Council must have a duty of care in the community to protect the residents against:						
Noise pollution, Air pollution Mental Illness and physical illness						
(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)						

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.



In 1996 the planning inspector stated that the site could be considered for 63 houses. Later is was stated that if the M6 was widened further then this number would need to be reduced further to ensure a distance barrier between houseing and the M6.						
The Motorway has been widened by an increase of 25% which should result in 25% reduction in houses, i.e. 45 dwellings.						
(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)						
Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.  After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.						
7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?						
X No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)  Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)						
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.						
8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:						



**Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Representations cannot be kept confidential and will be available for public scrutiny, including your name and/or organisation (if applicable). However, your contact details will not be published.

## **Data Protection**

Your details will be added to our Local Plans Consultation database so that we can contact you as the review progresses. South Staffordshire Council will process your personal data in accordance with the Data Protection Act 2018 and the General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR). Our Privacy Notice can be viewed at <a href="Data Protection">Data Protection</a> (Strategic Planning) | South Staffordshire District Council (sstaffs.gov.uk)

Please return the form via email to <u>localplans@sstaffs.gov.uk</u> or by post to South Staffordshire Council, Community Hub, Wolverhampton Road, Codsall, South Staffordshire WV8 1PX

As a resident of Cherrybrook Estate I find the Local Plan including the site at the rear of our estate to be an unsound decision.

The land at the rear of Cherrybrook is bordered to the East by the M6 and then green belt upto the Chase. The land is bordered to the North by Canal and Riverside Heritage. Alongside the canal is a service strip of land which is used by STWA for sewage pump out. This further reduces the amount of land available to build on.

The land gets very waterlogged as proved the last time when the contractors had to have their vehicles towed out of the field due to them sinking into the mud.

Access to and from the proposed site is very poor. It was noted on the previous applocation that the roads were not wide enough to cope with construction or indeed emergency vehicles should they be needed. Due to the traffic on the Cannock Road at peak times exit and entry onto Cherrybrook can be a laborious chore, made worse by school crossing patrols sited by the Boat Inn and the top of Wolgarston Way. If another 82 houses are added to the estate this will only make the matter worse.

I belive that there will be social housing on this proposed site. This will give the people allocated these houses no choice in wether they wish to live next to a motorway, given the associated risks yp