

5888

Comment

Document Element: Policy SA3: Housing Allocations

Respondent: Mrs P Farrier

Date received: 30/05/2024 via Web

Summary:

Please look at revising current housing planning in Kinver especially in White Hill and beyond, especially as housing targets are now advisory rather than mandatory. We cannot keep providing space for the greater Midlands at the expense of losing our Green belt land.

Full text:

Kinver still faces overdevelopment and excessive housebuilding, our picturesque village is losing the 'village' character with more people, concrete and more traffic, making the country narrow roads, more hazardous and prone to potholes. We have much longer waiting times to see the doctor, fewer places in schools. We are bursting at the seams with people, no jobs available locally; no fuel stations for at least 5 miles; no car parking of any substance in the High Street; few shops to cater for local population so travel to get groceries is a daily necessity meaning many trips out of Kinver to get supplies and return along more crowded roads. When will sanity come to the Planners and stop building more houses in Kinver?

What happened to the people of Kinver having a say in what is acceptable in Kinver? Did that plan fail too? What happened to making housing targets advisory rather than mandatory, allowing local voices to be heard in the planning process? That seems to be not available to Kinver residents. Development should be in line with local needs, wants and, most importantly, capacity. It seems that is not something the Planners want for Kinver, but it SHOULD be.

Stop building houses in Kinver and look at the overall picture in Kinver, sadly it is not the 'village' I moved into nearly 40 years ago and doesn't look like it will ever be again.

Please overturn the plan to build on Green belt land space in Kinver - White Hill and beyond.

Change suggested by respondent:

-

Legally compliant: Not specified

Sound: Not specified

Comply with duty: Not specified

Attachments: None