

From: [REDACTED]
To: [External Email for Local Plans](#); [REDACTED]
Subject: SOUTH STAFFS LOCAL PLAN PUBLICATION PLAN REGULATION 19 SPRING 2024 :
Date: 20 May 2024 09:32:20

CAUTION-THIS EMAIL WAS SENT FROM OUTSIDE THE COUNCIL. DONT OPEN LINKS OR ATTACHMENTS UNLESS YOURE SURE YOU CAN TRUST THIS SENDER!

D.P.EVERETT,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Tel. No. [REDACTED]

E.mail address; [REDACTED]

Good morning,

“I support the protection of the Greenbelt. Any development should be in the sustainable locations that have been identified, with good infrastructure and public transport links and nearby facilities.” .

I agree that the focus for development should be in the larger villages with greater access to facilities and public transport, thus reducing car dependency. These villages must be provided with the resources and improvements to infrastructure to ensure that existing households and residents are not penalised by these developments.

I agree that the council should update the local plan in line with the revised NPPF (Dec 2023) to reflect changes to national policy, most notably to reflect the protection of the greenbelt. It is important to preserve green spaces for future generations and to mitigate climate change.

I agree that tier 1 villages are assessed as having the greatest access to services and facilities relative to other settlements, with Tier 4 settlements the lowest. Tier 5 villages, including Lower Penn, have no access to services and facilities and are therefore unsuitable for development.

I agree that the district should plan for its own objectively assessed needs for housing and other development, as well as contributing to the needs of neighbouring areas as long as that does not result in Green Belt release.

I agree that the council should allocate suitable brownfield sites first and foremost, and also push back to neighbouring authorities to do the same.

Kind Regards,

D.P.EVERETT