

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [External Email for Local Plans](#)  
**Cc:** [gavin.williamson.mp@parliament.uk](mailto:gavin.williamson.mp@parliament.uk); [d.haywood@sstaffs.gov.uk](mailto:d.haywood@sstaffs.gov.uk)  
**Subject:** Re: OBJECTION to SITE 313 - SWINDON VILLAGE  
**Date:** 21 December 2022 19:02:54

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION-THIS EMAIL WAS SENT FROM OUTSIDE THE COUNCIL. DONT OPEN LINKS OR ATTACHMENTS UNLESS YOURE SURE YOU CAN TRUST THIS SENDER!

Hello,

Simply want to re-iterate my objections to the development SAD 313 - development of Greenbelt, Swindon, South Staffordshire.

Please see my emails below re my safety concerns!

IF this site goes ahead, I can see a major accident happening, maybe a potential death with a motorist/pedestrian in Himley Lane!!

GAVIN WILLIAMSON...surely this is NOT something you can allow to go ahead on 'your watch' when there is a much safer site alternative available??

I've also been lead to believe that not only is the original plan completely OUT OF DATE now!! that it is also has a direct negative effect/impact on CLIMATE CHANGE??

So please look at the above and my objections below and throw out SAD 313 for the good of the community of Swindon and MASSIVE safety concerns.

PLEASE REPLY.

Thank you,

Martyn

Martyn Share

On Tue, 29 Nov 2022, 21:46 Martyn Share, <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hello,

Just want to confirm that you received the email below te my objection to site 313, Swindon Village, as I believe there is a public consultation ongoing, ending 23rd December 2022?

As below, I honestly believe this option has not been investigated properly/correctly, as with the very narrow Himley Lane a potential dangerous accident will just be waiting to happen unfortunately!

And I understand that there are much more safer and attractive options for a further development in Swindon to be looked at and considered, which I believe would be a much better proposal for the Village as a whole.

Please look at this objection seriously, knowing how potentially dangerous allowing site

313 to go ahead would be.

Many thanks,

Martyn

Martyn Share.....tel; [REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Martyn Share** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Tue, 7 Dec 2021, 17:57

Subject: OBJECTION to SITE 313 - SWINDON VILLAGE

To: <[localplans@sstaffs.gov.uk](mailto:localplans@sstaffs.gov.uk)>

To Whom it may Concern,

It had been brought to my attention that there are issues with the 'suggested housing development site' number 313 in Swindon Village, please can you confirm this, thank you.

AND if this is the case, could I please make the following comments that I would like taken on board, again thank you.

The most obvious objection is the dreadful access to the site, the narrowness, and how incredibly dangerous Himley Lane itself is (you can't get two cars passing each other without one having to give way, which motorists don't tend to do nowadays!), the lack of pavement(s) being available for pedestrians to be able to negotiate safely, and then having to cross over a busy main road to access shops, and in particular the local school etc, it's an accident (dare I say, a death trap!) waiting to happen.

I think the Highways Agency previously carried out a traffic survey on Himley Lane, but I ask why was this done at the quietest time of the day, therefore not being able to indicate a true picture of the dangers and disasters that added traffic will bring?

You can also actually witness the buses having to mount the pavement itself in order to gain access, particularly at the bottom of Himley Lane and around the corner by The Old Bush pub.....what happens if there's a parent stood with a toddler /pram, who would get hurt the most, obviously not the bus?

I also understand there have been significant changes made to the original plans for the development of site 313, including to any 'safe-guarding' land that was originally in the plan, also new plans now extending into Greenbelt, making me question whether both the council, and obviously the residents in Swindon themselves, have been completely misled from the word go.

And, if I remember correctly, site 313 was NOT included when the original deadline had passed for housing development schemes to be looked at in Swindon, but was allowed in AFTER the deadline??

Why was this - surely it shouldn't have been allowed and IS/WAS illegal to do so?

I'd be grateful of a reply to my comments, and to see what the council actually really

think/feel about site 313.

I have no objections to any housing development in Swindon, and I believe there are alternative sites that could and should be looked at, which have far better and safer access etc, and actually enhance Swindon further as a nice attractive vllage, .....surely this should be done sooner rather than later, before it's too late, and there is a fatality/casualty that has to be dealt with?

Looking forward to hearing from you by return,

Many thanks,

Martyn

Martyn Share.