

Dear Sir/Madam,

I wish to object to the planning application of 81 residential properties on land South of Stafford, at Weeping Cross (A34 corridor). Stafford.

My objections are as follows:

- Access will be on to the Cannock Road which is already busy and this would cause a safety issue.
- The Cannock Road is a very busy road for most of the day so having a potential extra 160+ cars would compound to an already major traffic problem. We have already had extra vehicles from the development of the old Police headquarters site. The road cannot cope with the extra traffic generated.
- Incidents on the motorway around junction 13 already cause extra traffic on the Cannock road with gridlocked traffic, without any additional traffic adding to the situation.
- The land is prime agricultural land and it should not be used for building. This country needs food, more now than ever before, with the problems in Ukraine and the effects from Brexit.
- We need to help the climate and this doesn't mean more houses, it should mean more trees and hedges. What will happen to the water that would usually soak into the ground? Where will that go!
- The drainage system on the Cannock Road is old and so for any further drainage or sewerage to be
  included, major work would be essential or catastrophic problems would occur. With the amount of
  rainfall we are getting then surface water should not be allowed to go into the already struggling
  sewerage system.
- The area cannot cope with any more people, the schools and health centres are full to capacity, it is really difficult getting a doctor's appointment, without having any more demand in the area.
- The Councils Site Allocation Plan does not include this site therefore development on this site is contrary to the councils Local Plan.
- This will be an extension of Stafford without our area or council benefitting financially from it.
- The site is part of a very scenic area and besides being viewed from Wildwood and Cannock Road there is a lovely walk nearby. All that would go if the site was developed.
- There would also be a loss of wildlife including small mammals, trees and plants.

Please consider all of these points before deciding.

Yours faithfully,

Carol Fryer.