

4218

Object

Document Element: Housing growth in Locality 5, 5.46

Respondent: Mr Richard Evanson

Date received: 22/12/2022 via Web

Summary:

The paragraph states 'as its relatively low Green Belt harm compared to other areas in the district'. This is an exceptionally important statement in this document, but there is absolutely no justification anywhere in any document for this statement. The 2 areas I believe to have a significant impact on the green belt are Housing Site allocation 463 and Housing Allocation 284.

Change suggested by respondent:

Removal of housing site allocation 284 and housing site allocation 463 from the plan. Housing allocation 284 as adjacent to the wildlife is the primary part that needs to be removed from the plan as this will protect the lives of a significant number of animals.

Housing allocation 463 should be removed to remove the connectivity between Wombourne and Lower Penn, allowing the retention of a green belt between Wombourne and the black country. The current plan halves the separation between the built up areas.

These site allocations, can easily be replaced by expanding a number of the other sprites, which do not actually destroy a greenbelt, but just sprawl out into fields, reducing it, but just moving the green belt into other fields that are already present.

Legally compliant: No


Sound: No


Comply with duty: No


Appear exam: Appearance at the examination

Oral exam why: I believe the process of planning has been incomplete and unfair. The national guidelines have been misinterpreted and continually reviewed during the process. Local councillors have taken the easy route out, if it does not impact them they have happily taken the not in my back yard.

Attachments:

 Response 1 Natural Impact.pdf - <https://sstaffs.oc2.uk/a/t96>

 Respponse 1 - Natural Impact continued.docx - <https://sstaffs.oc2.uk/a/t97>

Please note:  files require a system login to access them.